

## Lecture 18: Invariant quantities + Minkowski formulation of relativity

Last time we discussed the notion of covariance: That physical laws retain their form as you go from one frame to another. So if  $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial \vec{B} / \partial t$ , we expect that  $\nabla' \times \vec{E}' = -\partial \vec{B}' / \partial t'$ .

We also spoke of invariant quantities, like  $g$ . We assume  $g$  is the same in every frame, so  $g = g'$ .

In any theory, we want to write as many covariant laws as possible, with as many invariant quantities as possible.

We can draw a really productive analogy to a piece of classical theory: The length of vectors is invariant under rotation.

Consider a position vector:  $\vec{x} = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$

And consider a rotation through angle  $\theta$  about the  $z$ -axis. We represent this in matrix form via:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x\cos\theta - y\sin\theta \\ x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

$\vec{x}$  is clearly not invariant under rotation. But its length, which we obtain with a dot product, is:

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x}' \cdot \vec{x}' &= x^2 \cos^2\theta + y^2 \sin^2\theta - 2xy \cos\theta \sin\theta + x^2 \sin^2\theta + y^2 \cos^2\theta + 2xy \cos\theta \sin\theta + z^2 \\ &= x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

The fact that vectors have lengths that are the same no matter your point of view is kind of a thing we use a lot. It's even the case that spatial intervals ( $\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j$ ) are invariant under Galilean transforms, too. "Length" is useful because it is so reliably invariant.

But spatial intervals aren't Lorentz invariant, in general.  $\Delta \vec{x} \cdot \Delta \vec{x} \neq \Delta \vec{x}' \cdot \Delta \vec{x}'$ . That's what Lorentz contraction expresses, when you get down to it.

And in any case, we're supposed to be describing events in spacetime, with  $x, y, z$ , and also  $t$ . The  $x$  + coordinates can't be disentangled, as we see in the Lorentz transforms.

So to specify an event in spacetime, we need to write a vector with four elements:

$$\begin{pmatrix} ct \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

We throw a factor of  $c$  to match units.  
(this does one other special thing, which I'll mention shortly)

Now, Lorentz transforms are linear, so we can write a transform in matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \gamma(x - vt) \\ y' &= y \\ z' &= z \\ t' &= \gamma\left(t - \frac{vx}{c^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad \text{becomes} \quad \begin{pmatrix} ct' \\ x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\beta\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta\gamma & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ct \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

This is really reminiscent of rotations, for which there was a thing called length that was invariant. Do 4-vectors have a "length" that is invariant under Lorentz transforms? It turns out they do.

If  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  is the (squared) length of a 3-vector, let  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - (ct)^2$  be the (squared) length of a 4-vector. Let's check the invariance explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} ct' &= \gamma ct - \beta\gamma x \\ x' &= -\beta\gamma ct + \gamma x \\ y' &= y \\ z' &= z \end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2 - (ct')^2 = (\gamma x - \beta\gamma ct)^2 + y^2 + z^2 - (\gamma ct - \beta\gamma x)^2$$

$$= \gamma^2 x^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2 c^2 t^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \gamma^2 c^2 t^2 - \beta^2 \gamma^2 x^2$$

$$= x^2 \gamma^2 (1 - \beta^2) + y^2 + z^2 - c^2 t^2 \gamma^2 (1 - \beta^2)$$

And since  $\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{1 - v^2/c^2} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2}$ ,  $\gamma^2(1 - \beta^2) = 1$ , so

$$x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2 - (ct')^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - (ct)^2$$

Also note that this definition of length retains its invariance under rotations, since rotations affect  $x, y, z$  only. So this really represents an extension of, not a replacement of, the classical notion of length.

Here's some notation. Classically, we accessed length via a dot product,

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} = x_i x_i = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

Note that  $x_i x_i$  is summation notation for  $\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i x_i$  (implied summation when indexes repeat)

We need a dot product generalized to spacetime (4D). And we need to rig it so that the  $(ct)^2$  part of it is negative. We do it like so:

Let  $x_\mu$  represent the  $\mu^{\text{th}}$  component of  $(ct, x, y, z)$

The naive 4D dot product would be  $x_\mu x_\mu = (ct)^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2$

Instead, define the Minkowski product as

$$x^\mu x_\mu = x^0 x_0 + x^1 x_1 + x^2 x_2 + x^3 x_3 \quad (\text{not squared/cubed... those are indices})$$
$$= -x_0 x_0 + x_1 x_1 + x_2 x_2 + x_3 x_3$$

Bookkeeping rule: With 4-vectors, write dot products using one subscripted index and one superscripted index. Moving an index up or down doesn't do anything if  $\mu=1,2,3$ , but moving the 0 index incurs a minus sign.

Don't overthink it.  $-x_0 x_0 + x_1 x_1 + x_2 x_2 + x_3 x_3$  is the quantity invariant under both rotations and Lorentz transforms. So we extended our definition of dot product and made a notation to help keep track. That's it.

A bit more vocab:

$x_\mu$ : covariant vector (different sense of the word)

$x^\mu$ : contravariant vector

$x_i$ ,  $i$  is any Roman letter: Implies index that runs from 1 to 3

$x_\mu$ ,  $\mu$  is any Greek letter: Implies index that runs from 0 to 3

Ok, so the Minkowski formulation of special relativity basically involves writing every quantity as part of a 4-vector (a vector whose length is Lorentz invariant), and writing every physical law in a manifestly covariant form. Then all the relativistic stuff kind of happens automatically.

So position  $x_\mu$  is a four-vector. What is the four velocity?

Classically,  $v_i = dx_i/dt$

$$\text{If we write } v_\mu = dx_\mu/dt = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ dx/dt \\ dy/dt \\ dz/dt \end{pmatrix}$$

that is a vector with four components, and is a velocity, but it's not a 4-vector because its length is certainly not Lorentz invariant. Regular speeds change quite a bit as you go from frame to frame... which is kind of obvious when you think about it.

So we want to come up with a way to express velocity such that the "length" is Lorentz invariant. Here's an idea:

Define  $\tau$  to be the proper time, the time as measured by an object in its rest frame. This is Lorentz invariant... If Steve, Carl, and Hank are all in different frames, their watches disagree, but they can all at least agree on what Hank's watch says.

So intervals of proper time  $d\tau$  are Lorentz invariant. And  $x_\mu$  is a 4-vector, so  $dx_\mu$  is, too

Thus  $\frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau} \equiv u_\mu$  represents a 4-vector, the true 4-velocity.

Note that  $d\tau = dt/\gamma$  (time dilation, basically) so

$$u_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma c \\ \gamma v_x \\ \gamma v_y \\ \gamma v_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the components of  $u_\mu$  do change as you go from frame to frame. All observers are using some agreed-upon clock, but they're measuring spatial intervals differently. It's just that the components change in offsetting ways so that  $u_\mu u^\mu = c^2$ .

Let's do momentum next. Classically,  $\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$ . If we posit that the (rest) mass of an object is Lorentz invariant, then given that

$u_\mu$  is a 4-vector, so would be  $p_\mu = m u_\mu$ . This is the 4-momentum: 
$$p_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma m c \\ \gamma m v_x \\ \gamma m v_y \\ \gamma m v_z \end{pmatrix}$$

And hey, classically,  $\vec{F} = d\vec{p}/dt$ , which isn't covariant. But

$\frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau} \equiv K_\mu$  would be.  $K_\mu$  is the Minkowski force, and

$K_\mu = dp_\mu/d\tau$  is what we call the manifestly (fancy word for obviously) covariant form of Newton's 2nd.

But wait... what's the 0<sup>th</sup> component of  $p_\mu$  &  $K_\mu$ ? Well, back in modern, you deduced that  $\gamma m c^2$  is the total (rest + kinetic) energy of an object. We won't repeat the derivation here. But we note that  $p_0 = \gamma m c = E/c$ .

So  $P_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} E/c \\ m v_x \\ m v_y \\ m v_z \end{pmatrix}$  is sometimes called the energy-momentum 4-vector.

And  $K_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} dE/dt \\ \delta F_x \\ \delta F_y \\ \delta F_z \end{pmatrix}$   $F_i$  the classical force.

The components of  $P_{\mu}$  describe the energy & momentum of an object, and the components of  $K_{\mu}$  describe the rates of change of the energy & momenta (power & forces on it).

Note that  $-E^2/c^2 + m(v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2)$  is an invariant quantity, so if it were conserved in any frame, it'd be conserved in every frame.