

Potentials : Gauge Transforms.

Classical Radiation: Dipole Radiation.

Special Relativity: Cool.

Today: Potential Griffith's 10.1.

Tomorrow: Griffith's 12.1, 12.2.

Potentials: what are they?

Voltage,

Back in the day, you guys used voltage,  $V$ , and the magnetic potential,  $\vec{A}$ , in electrostatics.

$$\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V \quad ; \quad \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$

why?

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = \vec{0}$$

$$-\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla}V) = \vec{0} \text{ in general.}$$

was true for electrostatics, but it's not for electrodynamics

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \vec{B} \neq \text{const. in time} \Rightarrow \vec{E} \neq -\vec{\nabla}V$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})$$

$$= -\vec{\nabla} \times \left( \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \vec{\nabla} \times \left( \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \left( \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = \vec{0}$$

$$\propto (\text{this thing}) = \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla}V$$

$$\boxed{\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \quad ; \quad \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Show  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  &  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$  are automatically satisfied using the above eqns.

$\Rightarrow$  Plug it in to the other two Maxwell's eqns

The other maxwell eqns give

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}) = -\mu_0 \vec{J}$$

These two eqns with  $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$ ;  $\vec{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$  are equivalent to Maxwell's eqns.. sort of.

This looks crappy, but we can play around with it a little. The reason is all we care about is that  $\nabla \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$  and  $-\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = \vec{E}$ .  $\Rightarrow$  we can change  $\vec{A}, V$  just as long as  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  aren't effected.

$$\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{a} \quad ; \quad V' = V + \beta$$

$$\text{we need } \nabla \times \vec{A}' = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad ; \quad -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \vec{A}'}{\partial t} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (\vec{A} + \vec{a}) &= \nabla \times \vec{A} \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{a} &= \vec{0} \\ \text{let's rewrite } \vec{a} &\text{ as } \nabla \lambda \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\nabla \lambda) &= \vec{0} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} -\nabla(V + \beta) - \frac{\partial(\vec{A} + \vec{a})}{\partial t} &= -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \\ \Rightarrow -\nabla \beta - \frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial t} &= \vec{0} \\ \nabla(\beta + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}) &= \vec{0} \\ \beta &= -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

Gist is we can transform  $V, \vec{A}$  using the following transformation

$$V' = V - \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \quad ; \quad \vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \nabla \lambda$$

for any  $\lambda(\vec{r}, t)$ . This is what a gauge transformation is.

Two common gauges:

Coulomb Gauge: we pick  $\lambda$  so  $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$  Maxwell's eqns give:

$$\nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad ; \quad \nabla^2 \vec{A} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right)$$

finding  $V$  is easy, finding  $\vec{A}$  is hard.

Lorentz Gauge: we pick  $\lambda$  so  $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Plug this into Maxwell's eqns and see what happens.

$$\left( \nabla^2 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \vec{A} = -\mu_0 \vec{J}$$

$$\left( \nabla^2 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

## Some interesting things from Chapter 10

$$V(\vec{r}, t) = \int_{\text{all space}} \frac{\rho(\vec{r}', t_r)}{r} d\tau' \quad \vec{A} = \int_{\text{all space}} \frac{\vec{J}(\vec{r}', t_r)}{r} d\tau'$$

$$r = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| \quad t_r = t - \frac{r}{c}.$$

The related expressions for  $\vec{E}, \vec{B}$ .

$$\vec{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\text{all space}} \left[ \frac{\rho(\vec{r}', t_r)}{r^2} \hat{n} + \frac{\dot{\rho}(\vec{r}', t_r)}{cr} \hat{n} - \frac{\vec{J}(\vec{r}', t_r)}{c^2 r} \right] d\tau'$$

$$\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{\text{all space}} \left[ \frac{\dot{\vec{J}}(\vec{r}', t_r)}{r^2} + \frac{\ddot{\vec{J}}(\vec{r}', t_r)}{cr} \right] d\tau'$$